(33)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 1914 of 2007

PETITIONER : Smt. Ramiya Bai W/o. Shri Subhash Ram Aged about – 27 years, R/o. Village Kamari (Lohradand) P.S. – Shankargarh Tah. Kusmi Distt. – Surguja (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through – The Secretary Department of Women and Child Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Commissioner, Women and Child Development Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Collector, Ambikapur Distt. Surguja (C.G.)
4. The District Programme Officer, Women and Child Development, Ambikapur (C.G.)
5. The Project Officer, Women And Child Development Shankargarh, Distt. – Surguja (C.G.)
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Shankargarh Distt.- Surguja (C.G.)
7. Kiran Yadav W/o. Vinod Yadav Aged about – 27 years R/o. – Village Silfili, P.S. – Shankargarh, Tahsil Kusmi, Distt. Surguja (C.G.).

Present: Shri Rahul Mishra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents 1 to 5.

ORDER
(Passed on 30th of March, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the appointment of the respondent no. 7 on the post of Angan Badi Worker is contrary to the scheme, as the respondent no. 7 is not living the poverty line and further she is not living in the village wherefor she was appointed as Angan Badi Worker. The petitioner is better qualified than the respondent no. 7 as the requirement for appointment of Angan Badi worker is Class 8th passed and preference should be given to those who are Class 8th passed. Learned counsel further submits that the name of the respondent no. 7 was also not recommended by the scrutiny committee.

2. Be that as it may, the Collector, Surguja (Ambikapur) is directed to examine the allegation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law as per Anganbadi scheme, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

3. In view of the above, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit